UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

V.

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

	Victoria De Lao-Ortiz	Case Number: _	11-6586M
In accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), a detention hearing was held on November 28, 2011. Defendant was present and was represented by counsel. I conclude by a preponderance of the evidence the defendant is a flightrisk and order the detention of the defendant pending trial in this case.			
FINDINGS OF FACT I find by a preponderance of the evidence that:			
	The defendant is not a citizen of the United Sta	tes or lawfully adn	nitted for permanent residence.
×	The defendant, at the time of the charged offen	se, was in the Uni	ted States illegally.
			by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs and the defendant has previously beendeported
	The defendant has no significant contacts in the	e United States or	in the District of Arizona.
	The defendant has no resources in the United S to assure his/her future appearance.	States from which h	ne/she might make a bond reasonably calculated
\boxtimes	The defendant has a prior criminal history.		
	The defendant lives/works in Mexico.		
	The defendant is an amnesty applicant but ha substantial family ties to Mexico.	s no substantial ti	es in Arizona or in the United States and has
	There is a record of the defendant using numer	ous aliases.	
	The defendant attempted to evade law enforce	ment contact by fle	eeing from law enforcement.
	The defendant is facing a maximum of	ye	ears imprisonment.
The Court incorporates by reference the material findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court at the time of the hearing in this matter, except as noted in the record. CONCLUSIONS OF LAW			
a corrections fa appeal. The de	acility separate, to the extent practicable, fom persefendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportun	easonably assure ARDING DETENT bey General or his/ sons awaiting or so ity forprivate consu	her designated representative for confinement in erving sentences or beingheld in custody pending ultation with defense counsel. On order of æourt
of the United States or on request of an attorneyfor the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facilityshall deliver the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding. APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE			
IT IS O deliver a copy o Court.	RDERED that should an appeal of this detention of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretial	order befiled with Services at least	the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to one day prior to the hearing set beforette District
Services suffici	URTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third priently in advance of the hearing before the District potential third party custodian.	partys to be conside t Court to allow F	ered, it is counsel's responsibilityto notify Pretrial Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and
DATED this 29 th day of November, 2011.			
Louis -			

David K. Duncan United States Magistrate Judge